RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 6 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

November 6, 2006

JEFFREY A. COOK, RICHARD E SOLTIS, ALEX D. COLVIN and JESSY GRIZZLE

Serial No.

10/705,436

Group Art Unit: 3748

Filed

November 10, 2003

Examiner: Tu Minh Nguyen

For

CONTROL APPROACH FOR USE WITH DUAL MODE OXYGEN

SENSOR

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Approved for Entry 11/27/2006

Sir:

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

These remarks are responsive to the Final Office Action mailed September 6, 2006. With entry of this amendment, claims 1-18 are pending. Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended in an Interview on November 6, 2006, and accordingly have attached an Interview Summary.

Claims 1-4, 7-14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Hamburg et a. (U.S. Patent 5,282,360). Claims 5, 6, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamburg et al. as applied to claims 1 and 12, in view of Schumacher et al. (U.S. Patent 6,116,021). Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kakuyama et al. (U.S. Patent 6,637,194) in view of Hamburg et al.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claim limitation "said linear indication being substantially linear across an entire range of air-fuel rations from at least 12:1 to 18:1", which Hamburg was stated to teach, in all rejections and applying to independent claims 1, 9 and 12,

Page 1 - RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION Serial No. 10/705,436; Record ID 81090523